Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/681,117	MIYAHARA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John P. Sheehan	1742
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>John P. Sheehan</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Peter Skiff.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 December 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 14, 15, 17, 18, 20 and 21		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See the Examiner's Amendemnt correcting the dependency of cla		S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary displaced by the provided of the provide	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the by the examiner appears in F	interview, since the interview Part II above.
applicants do not need to pri	2 7 200	
John P Shelo		
∢ ⊭xaminei7SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – it appropriate)